

Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY 23 Sentinel Lands

Program: EQIP

Pool Status: Active

States: WA (Admin)

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template -
Amended October 2022

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Keith Griswold

Last Modified: 11/21/2022
2

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	0	33	100
Livestock production limitation	0	33	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	34	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Conservation Practices
Livestock Shelter Structure	576	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices
Waste Recycling	633	Conservation Practices
Water Well	642	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY 23 Sentinel Lands (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location Location Location

Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA FY 23 Sentinel Lands Boundary	WA FY 23 Sentinel Lands Boundary	--
	No	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Question

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application is for Washington State Sentinel Lands Boundary (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts?	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as either Beginning Farmer or Socially Disadvantaged participant, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information?	YES	50
	NO	0
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS645, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672).	YES	20
	NO	0
Applicants practice schedule is four years or less (ending 12/31/2027 or earlier	YES	10
	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656).	YES	10
	NO	0
Application(s) Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement	YES	10
	NO	10

Section: Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659).	YES	20
	NO	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is application located within the species range of the Yelm pocket gopher, Tenino pocket gopher, Roy pocket gopher, Olympia pocket gopher.	Within range of the Pocket Gopher	50
	Otherwise	0
Will the proposed practices benefit federal threatened, or endangered species under USFWS jurisdiction? (planners use PHS EE tool or run IPaC report).	YES	50
	NO	0
Is project located within a 1/4 mile of a known Oregon Spotted Frog occurrence?	1/4/ mile of Oregon Spotted Frog	50
	Otherwise	0
Plant community? Answer one of the following:	Will this project create or maintain a predominately (75%) native herbaceous plant community? 25 Points	25
	Will this project create or maintain a predominately (75%) introduced herbaceous plant community? 10 Points	10
	Will the project create or maintain a predominately (75%) woody plant community? 0 Points	0
	N/A	0
Is project located on a preferred pocket gopher soil? (High and Medium would count. Any % of the applicants offered acres warrants a yes.	Pocket Gopher Soil	25
	Otherwise	0
PLU is located within half mile buffer of JBLM?	YES	10
	NO	0